# HOUSING MANAGEMENT CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

## Agenda Item 40

**Brighton & Hove City Council** 

Subject: Housing & Social Inclusion Performance Report

(Quarter 1)

Date of Meeting: 26 September 2011

Report of: Head of Housing & Social Inclusion

Contact Officer: Name: Ododo Dafé Tel: 293201

Email: ododo.dafe@brighton-hove.gov.uk

Ward(s) affected: All

#### FOR GENERAL RELEASE

#### 1. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONTEXT:

1.1 This is the first quarter (April to June) performance report for Housing & Social Inclusion for the financial year 2010 - 2011. It adopts a new format for presenting information more clearly than before, and also reports on the service pledge commitments agreed for service areas.

#### 2. RECOMMENDATIONS:

2.1 That the Housing Management Consultative Committee comments on the content and the new format of the report, and suggests any further changes it may like to see.

#### 3. RELEVANT BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- 3.1.0 For applicable service areas the 'RAG' rating system of red, amber and green traffic light symbols is used to provide a clear, at a glance, indication of performance. For some areas, the targets already set for the year do not easily lend themselves to this rating system, and work will be done throughout the year to develop targets in line with 'RAG' rating for next year.
- 3.2.0 Trend arrows are also used to provide at a glance indication of whether the performance is poorer, remained the same, or has improved since the last reporting period.

#### 3.1 Key to symbols used within the report

See table below

STATUS		TREND	
Performance is below target (Red)	R	Poorer than previous reporting period	1
An area close to achieving target, but in need of improvement (Amber)	A	Same as previous reporting period	$\leftrightarrow$
Performance is on or above target (Green)	G	Improvement on previous reporting period	1

### 3.1.1 Rent collection and current arrears

Rent collection and current arrea	rs						
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	SERVICE PLEDGE	TREND	TARGET	ACTUAL			
% of rent collected as a proportion of the rent due each year	Y	1	98.86%	98.71%			
% of tenants with more than seven weeks rent arrears	Υ	New measure	3.72%	2.96%			
% of secure council tenants served a NoSP for rent arrears	Y	New measure	22.39%	6.31%			
% of households evicted because of rent arrears	N	1	Less than 0.29%	0.02%			
Number of households evicted because of rent arrears	Υ	New measure	Less than 35	3			
Percentage of rent loss due to empty properties	N	1	1.9%	1.99%			
This figure includes properties set aside for Brighton & Hove Seaside Community Homes. Once it is set up, we will revise the target.							
Total former tenant arrears	N	1	£447,641	£575,223			
% of rechargeable repair debt collected	Y	New measure	20%	4.52%			
% collection rate of leaseholders' recoverable arrears (this is an end of year figure)	N	Not year end	95%	Not year end			

NB: As these targets are for year end (rather than for each quarter), no traffic lights will be applied until the end of year report

## 3.2.0 Empty home turnaround time

3.2.1 There are no service pledge commitments relating to this specific area of work.

Empty home turnaround time	)				
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	SERVICE PLEDGE	TREND	TARGET	ACTUAL	STATUS
Average re-let times in days (all properties)	N		21	17	G

## 3.3.0 Property & Investment

Carrying out repairs to your hor	ne				
	SERVICE PLEDGE	TREND	TARGET	ACTUAL	STATUS
Emergency repairs completed in time	Y	1	98%	97.19%	A
1,566 repairs completed. The short	rtfall of 0.8	31% below	target equals	s 13 repairs	. The
Core Group has taken action in th			•	•	
June.	•	•			
Urgent repairs completed in time	Υ	1	98%	93.28%	R
2,323 repairs completed. The short	rtfall of 4.7	72% below	target eguals	s 110 repair	S
Again the Core Group has taken a			•	•	
99.8% in June.					
Routine repairs completed in time	Υ		97%	97.60%	G
Total 5,089 repairs completed	=		<b></b> 70	J. 100 / 0	G.
Average time to complete	Υ		15 days	11 days	G
routine repairs			10 00,0	,0	g
Percentage of appointments kept	N	1	95%	95.02%	G
Tenant satisfaction with repairs	N		95%	95.93%	G
Percentage of responsive repairs	Υ		95%	97.33%	G
passing post-inspection			<b>55</b> 70	07.0070	
Percentage of repairs completed	Υ		94%	98.34%	G
right first time		_			
Home improvements					
Percentage of homes that are decent	N	1	76.7%	76.10%	A
Energy efficiency rating of	N		71	70.60	A
homes (SAP)			<i>i</i> 1	70.60	A
Percentage of planned works	Υ	n/a	95%	98.78%	G
passing post-inspection		11/a	<b>33</b> 70	30.7070	
Stock with up to date gas	Υ		100%	99.68%	R
safety certificates					
A total of 10,625 properties require	-				
target equals 34 properties of which			rred to the lo	cal housing	offices
to seek access through the gas ac	cess proc	edures.			
Empty homes					
Percentage of empty	Υ		98%	99.29%	G
properties passing					
post-inspection					

## 3.4.0 Car parks and garages

Methods of reporting on performance data and service pledges relating to car parks and garages are still being developed and will be incorporated into future reports.

#### 3.5.0 Estates service

Estates service					
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR SERVICE		TREND	TARGET	ACTUAL	STATUS
PLEDGE					
Percentage passing quality	Υ		96%	98.7%	G
inspections of our cleaning		_			
service					
368 quality checks in Q1			0.00/	<b>27.2</b> 2/	
Percentage passing quality	Υ		96%	97.3%	G
inspections of our minor repairs service		_			
470 quality checks in Q1					
Reduction in fly tipping which	Υ	New	n/a	n/a	n/a
has to be removed from	•	measure	II/ CI	II/a	II/a
common areas					
Removal work is continuing but	t an initia	ative is bein	develope	d with CityC	lean and
progress in this matter will be re				<b>,</b> -	-
Reduction in graffiti reported	Υ	1	n/a	32	G
Customer satisfaction eg with	Υ	New	n/a	n/a	n/a
cleanliness of the blocks		measure			
'rate your estate' satisfaction					
'Rate your estate' has not been	fully rol	led out acro	ss the city	so this will a	lso be
reported on later in the year.					
Completion of cleaning tasks	N	$\Leftrightarrow$	98.5%	97.3%	A
The about 15 all a 5 0 00% and the about	(		- 7 - 1 - 6	00411	
The shortfall of 0.8% on the tar	<u> </u>	esents appr			er week.
Emergency removal of bulk waste that met the target time	N	$\Leftrightarrow$	100%	100%	G
Routine removal of bulk waste that met the target time	N	1	96%	98%	G
Emergency removal of graffiti that met the target time	N	$\Leftrightarrow$	100%	100%	G
Routine removal of graffiti	N		96%	100%	
that met the target time			J	100/0	G

### 3.6.0 Anti-social behaviour (ASB)

- 3.6.1 The service pledges relating to ASB concern areas of work that do not easily lend themselves to target setting, eg the number of new cases or the number of cases resolved. The details below on each service pledge are therefore for information only.
- 3.6.2 Our activity against the ASB service pledges are as follows:
  - Number of new ASB cases 18
  - Number of enforcement and support actions taken 808
  - Number of closed cases that were resolved 28

• Customer satisfaction - during the first quarter, of the victims and witnesses interviewed, 93% were either very or fairly satisfied with the way their case was dealt with.

## 3.7.0 Sheltered housing

Support plans, daily call service and social activities							
PERFORMANCE INDICATOR	SERVICE PLEDGE	TREND	TARGET	ACTUAL	STATUS		
% of people with an up to date support plan	Y	1	100%	94%	R		
Although it is not unusual for a scheme to have 1 or 2 people without an up to date plan (due to sickness or holidays) at one scheme performance has been below average due to a Scheme Manager vacancy. However we are taking steps to bring all plans up to date.							
% of people who decline a support plan	N	$\Leftrightarrow$	0%	2%	A		
The number of declined supp Some residents have stated plan. However, assistance ca basis.	a personal	preference	not to have	e a structure	d support		
% of new residents with a support plan completed within 21 days  The performance was slightly higher than the average for the support of the	•	•	•	<b>90%</b> npared to 94	R 1%) but still		
Call each resident personally (as agreed with the resident)	•	New measure	100%	100%	G		
To provide at least one social activity per week (in 21 of our 24 schemes)	Y	New measure	100%	100%	G		

#### 4. COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND CONSULTATION

- 4.1 As suggested in the last Performance Report a change in presentation of this report is now presented.
- 4.2 There is an increasing emphasis on the agreed Service Pledges to customers. These arose following consultation with service users and are also reflected in the Annual Report to Tenants, as required by the Tenant Services Authority. We will continue to take feedback from Members and residents as this style of reporting, and the topics it reflects, develops in the coming months.

#### 5. FINANCIAL & OTHER IMPLICATIONS:

### **Financial Implications:**

5.1 Most performance measures discussed in this report have financial Implications and these are considered within the monthly financial monitoring reports. For example, any improvement in turnaround times or a reduction in rent arrears increases the amount of rent collected. Improvements in performance will, in general, lead to more resources being available for tenants' services in the future

Finance Officer Consulted: Monica Brooks Date: 30/08/11

#### **Legal Implications:**

There are legal implications attached to most of the performance measures mentioned in this report. For example, section 83 of the Housing Act 1985 provides that possession proceedings cannot be brought against secure tenants for non-payment of rent unless a Notice of Seeking Possession (NOSP) has been served. (Paragraph 3.1.1) However, none of them are so significant that they need to be drawn especially to the Committee's attention.

Lawyer Consulted: Liz Woodley Date: 12/09/11

#### **Equalities Implications:**

5.3 Where appropriate, equalities implications are included within the body of the report.

#### Sustainability Implications:

5.4 Where appropriate, sustainability implications are included within the body of the report.

#### Crime & Disorder Implications:

5.5 There are no direct crime and disorder implications

Risk and Opportunity Management Implications:

5.6 There are no direct risk and opportunity management implications arising from this report

## Public Health Implications:

5.7 There are no direct public health implications arising from this report

#### Corporate / Citywide Implications:

5.8 There are no direct corporate or city wide implications arising from this report.

## **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

## Appendices:

1. None

## **Documents in Members' Rooms**

1. None

## **Background Documents**

1. None